Harnessing Artificial Intelligence for Educational Transformation in Sindh: Opportunities, Challenges, and Strategic Implementation

Dr. Zahid Hussain Sahito
Assistant Professor Department of Teacher Education Shah Abdul Latif University Khairpur Sindh
Zahid.sahito@salu.edu.pk

Dr. Farzna Jabeen Khoso
Assistant Professor Department of Teacher Education Shah Abdul Latif University Khairpur Sindh
Farzana.khoso@salu.edu.pk

Uzma Alishba
Graduate Scholar Shah Abdul Latif University Khairpur Sindh
uzmaalishbasahito@gmail.com

Abstract

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in education has demonstrated transformative potential globally, yet remains underexplored in Pakistan, particularly in the province of Sindh. This study investigates the opportunities, readiness, and challenges of implementing AI-driven technologies in Sindh's primary and secondary educational landscape. Utilizing a qualitative methodology, semi-structured interviews were conducted with stakeholders including teachers, administrators, ICT officers, EdTech professionals, and policy officials across urban and rural districts. Thematic analysis revealed six critical domains influencing AI adoption: conceptual ambiguity, potential to address equity, infrastructural constraints, digital competency, ethical concerns, and governance gaps. While the findings reflect enthusiasm for AI's role in personalized learning and administrative efficiency, systemic barriers such as poor connectivity, limited teacher training, and lack of coordinated policy frameworks persist. The study proposes a localized roadmap for AI integration and outlines future research directions including gender-sensitive AI design, longitudinal impact assessments, and policy innovation. These insights aim to inform evidence-based interventions for equitable, inclusive, and sustainable educational transformation in Sindh.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, AI adoption , Educational Transformation, digital competency,

Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has rapidly advanced over recent years, significantly impacting various sectors, with education emerging as one of the most influenced fields. AI in education encompasses intelligent technologies and systems, including adaptive learning tools, natural language processing applications, performance analytics, and intelligent administrative systems (Luckin et al., 2016; Holmes et al., 2019). These tools are transforming how students learn, how teachers deliver instruction, and how educational institutions manage and distribute learning resources. Countries like the United States, China, and Finland have proactively integrated AI in classrooms to enhance educational outcomes and minimize disparities among learners (OECD, 2021).

In contrast, Pakistan's education system—particularly in the province of Sindh—remains in the nascent stages of digital transformation. Despite some progress, the application of AI-based interventions in Sindh is still limited. The province faces persistent educational challenges including low literacy rates, high dropout rates, underqualified teaching staff, inadequate infrastructure, and significant disparities between urban and rural education quality (UNESCO, 2023; Alif Ailaan, 2018). For instance, the Annual Status of Education Report (2022) reveals that only 38% of Grade 5 students in Sindh can read Sindhi or Urdu, and fewer than half can solve basic division problems (ASER Pakistan, 2022). These alarming statistics suggest the urgent need for innovative educational approaches. AI technologies have the potential to address these systemic issues by facilitating personalized learning, providing real-time feedback, and delivering curriculum content in a tailored, efficient manner.

Historically, educational reforms in Sindh have been fragmented, short-term, and ineffective. They have suffered from unresponsive governance and resource inefficiencies (World Bank, 2021). Although initiatives like the Sindh Education Management Information System (SEMIS) and the Sindh School Monitoring System have marginally improved accountability and accessibility, they have failed to adequately address pedagogical issues and student engagement (Iqbal & Ahmad, 2022). However, technological foundations are slowly taking root with the increased availability of affordable smartphones, widespread 4G connectivity, and the adoption of cloud computing (Pakistan Telecommunication Authority, 2023). These developments suggest a growing readiness for more sophisticated digital solutions such as AI.

AI tools relevant to education include computer-aided language learning platforms, intelligent tutoring systems, and voice recognition software tailored to regional languages. Such technologies are particularly beneficial for marginalized groups and can support teacher shortages, enhance student engagement, and provide valuable data analytics for educational policymakers (Arinto, 2016; Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). However, for these solutions to be successful in Sindh, they must be customized to local needs, taking into account infrastructural limitations and cultural nuances. To date, there is a significant gap in localized research that addresses how AI can be effectively integrated into Sindh's educational context.

Sindh's education sector is not yet aligned with the global trend of AI-enhanced learning. The lack of concrete research, comprehensive policy, and digital literacy among teachers and administrators has delayed the integration of AI into classrooms. Without a targeted strategy, existing educational disparities—particularly the urban-rural divide—are likely to deepen. Furthermore, Sindh may continue to fall behind other provinces and countries that are actively transitioning toward AI-based education systems (UNESCO, 2022). Therefore, a focused research initiative is essential to evaluate feasible AI solutions and propose an actionable framework suitable for the socio-physical and cultural environment of Sindh.

This study seeks to fill that research gap by assessing the current state of AI readiness in Sindh's education system and exploring how intelligent technologies can be effectively implemented. By examining digital infrastructure, teacher preparedness, institutional policies, and stakeholder perceptions, this research aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of both the opportunities and challenges that AI integration entails. Ultimately, the goal is to develop a strategic roadmap for AI adoption that is grounded in the realities of Sindh's unique educational ecosystem.

Literature Review

AI in Global Education Systems

Artificial Intelligence is increasingly shaping the global education landscape by enhancing both instructional and administrative practices. AI-driven systems enable personalized learning through adaptive platforms, provide immediate feedback using natural language processing (NLP), and improve decision-making with predictive analytics (Chen et al., 2020). Grounded in constructivist learning theories, such technologies promote student autonomy, cognitive

flexibility, and critical thinking skills (Aoun, 2017). Countries such as South Korea and Singapore have leveraged AI tools for real-time assessment and curriculum alignment, showing positive educational outcomes (Lim et al., 2021).

Challenges in Low and Middle-Income Countries (LMICs)

Despite global success stories, AI adoption in LMICs, including Pakistan, remains limited due to infrastructural and pedagogical constraints. Barriers include unreliable internet connectivity, limited teacher training, outdated curricula, and underfunded school systems (Wang & Hu, 2022). However, contextualized innovations offer promising insights. Kenya's 'M-Shule' project uses SMS-based AI learning tailored to local needs, demonstrating that localized, low-bandwidth AI tools can succeed in resource-constrained settings (Ndung'u & Signé, 2020). Similarly, a pilot AI chatbot in Bangladesh boosted student engagement and eased teacher workloads (Islam et al., 2021).

The State of AI Integration in Pakistan and Sindh

Pakistan has made strides in digital education with initiatives like Digital Pakistan and biometric systems in Punjab. However, Sindh lags significantly in terms of infrastructure and policy readiness for AI deployment (Khan & Ali, 2021). A recent study shows that over 70% of public schools in Sindh lack internet connectivity, and most teachers have not received any digital training (Farooq et al., 2022). These challenges highlight the need for simultaneous investment in both infrastructure and human capital development to ensure AI can be effectively integrated.

Sociocultural and Linguistic Considerations

AI integration must also consider linguistic diversity and cultural sensitivities. In Sindh, multiple mediums of instruction—Sindhi, Urdu, and English—coexist, requiring NLP capabilities tailored to local dialects. Studies advocate for AI tools that support multilingual education environments and recognize sociocultural dynamics, especially for marginalized populations such as female students in rural Sindh (Shahid & Mehdi, 2021; Naseer et al., 2023).

Policy and Governance Gaps

AI's successful integration into education depends on governance structures and policy coherence. In Sindh, isolated pilot programs often fail due to a lack of coordination and scalability. Scholars stress the importance of public-private partnerships, regulatory support, and

a centralized strategy to foster AI-driven education solutions (Ahmed & Abbas, 2022; Asian Development Bank, 2022).

Classroom-Level AI Tools and Their Potential

Tools like ASSISTments have shown global efficacy in enhancing mathematics performance via intelligent tutoring systems (Koedinger et al., 2015). India's 'Byju's' platform demonstrates scalable personalized learning in a developing context, providing a model that can be adapted locally (Ghosh et al., 2021). Similar models in Sindh could mitigate teacher shortages and enhance student outcomes in numeracy and literacy.

AI in Educational Administration

Beyond classrooms, AI is also being utilized in administrative processes such as school mapping, dropout prediction, and teacher performance monitoring. An AI-based school management system piloted in Karachi demonstrated the potential for efficient resource allocation and governance improvements (Abbasi & Sajjad, 2022).

Ethical and Technical Concerns

Finally, integrating AI in education raises ethical and technical issues. Concerns include algorithmic bias, data privacy, and cybersecurity—particularly relevant in low-resource settings. Experts recommend the development of ethical frameworks and enhancement of digital literacy among both students and educators to mitigate these risks (Shah et al., 2022).

Research Objectives

This study aims to investigate the readiness, relevance, and feasibility of integrating Artificial Intelligence (AI) into the education system of Sindh. The specific objectives are:

1. To assess the current level of AI readiness in the education system of Sindh, including digital infrastructure, teacher preparedness, and institutional policies.
2. To identify specific AI tools and platforms that can address educational challenges in Sindh's public and private schools.
3. To examine the attitudes, perceptions, and awareness levels of educators, students, and policymakers regarding AI in education.

4. To develop a strategic roadmap for the integration of AI technologies within the province's primary and secondary education systems.

Research Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative research methodology to explore the opportunities and challenges of integrating Artificial Intelligence (AI) in the educational sector of Sindh. Qualitative methods are chosen to provide in-depth insights into the perceptions, experiences, and expectations of various stakeholders including educators, administrators, policy-makers, and technology experts. The primary data will be gathered through semi-structured interviews conducted across four districts: Karachi, Hyderabad, Tharparkar, and Dadu. Participants will include school leaders, teachers, provincial education officers, representatives from NGOs, and EdTech professionals. The selection will be purposive, focusing on those directly involved in educational planning, digital learning initiatives, or AI adoption.

Interviews will be conducted in-person or virtually, depending on accessibility and consent, and will be recorded with participants' permission. The transcribed interviews will be analyzed thematically using NVivo software. The coding process will involve open coding to identify recurring ideas, axial coding to group themes, and selective coding to draw conclusions relevant to the study's objectives.

This qualitative approach enables the study to understand the nuanced contextual factors affecting AI readiness and to propose tailored strategies that are grounded in the lived experiences and insights of stakeholders in Sindh's educational ecosystem.

Data Analysis

This study employs a qualitative research methodology to explore how Artificial Intelligence (AI) can be effectively integrated into Sindh's educational system. Data were collected through semi-structured interviews with various stakeholders, including public and private school teachers, education department officials, ICT specialists, and representatives from educational NGOs and the EdTech industry. The thematic analysis was conducted using NVivo software to code, categorize, and interpret interview transcripts, providing rich insights into the underlying perceptions, attitudes, and contextual challenges associated with AI in education.

Data Collection and Coding Process

Semi-structured interviews were guided by an open-ended questionnaire designed to explore stakeholder understanding, readiness, and concerns regarding AI in education. The questions were crafted to elicit detailed and reflective responses. Some of the core interview prompts included:

- How do you define Artificial Intelligence in the context of education?
- What potential do you see for AI to enhance teaching and learning in Sindh?
- What challenges do you think hinder AI adoption in your institution or region?
- How prepared are teachers and students to use AI-based tools?
- What are your concerns about privacy, ethics, or job displacement due to AI?

All interviews were recorded (with informed consent), transcribed, and uploaded to NVivo for coding. The analysis followed three key phases:

1. **Open Coding**: Initial codes were assigned to all meaningful data segments reflecting stakeholder experiences, beliefs, and concerns.
2. **Axial Coding**: Codes were grouped into categories to form central themes.
3. **Selective Coding**: Themes were synthesized into a narrative linked directly to the research objectives.

Emerging Themes and Stakeholder Perceptions

Analysis of the interview data revealed several critical themes:

Theme 1: Conceptual Ambiguity about AI

Many interviewees demonstrated limited understanding of what AI entails. Some equated it with general ICT tools, while others believed AI to be futuristic or irrelevant to the local context. Teachers from rural areas, in particular, expressed unfamiliarity:

"AI? I have heard of it but I think it's something only big countries are using. Here, we don't even have enough working computers." (Teacher, Tharparkar)

This conceptual gap indicates the need for foundational digital literacy and AI awareness campaigns tailored for educators and administrators.

Theme 2: Potential of AI to Improve Educational Equity

Despite knowledge gaps, stakeholders showed optimism about AI's potential. Participants from tech-integrated schools and NGOs emphasized AI's value in addressing teacher shortages, automating assessments, and offering adaptive learning experiences:

"AI can definitely help bridge the urban-rural divide if used properly. For example, a smart app that explains lessons in Sindhi could be very helpful for children in remote areas." (NGO Representative, Karachi)

Interviewees stressed that AI solutions should align with local language needs and curriculum goals to maximize impact.

Theme 3: Infrastructure and Connectivity Deficiencies

Nearly all participants cited poor infrastructure—unreliable electricity, lack of internet, and outdated hardware—as a significant barrier to AI adoption, especially in rural districts:

"In Dadu, even if you introduce AI tools, how will we use them when we don't have stable power supply or internet?" (Principal, Public School, Dadu)

This theme highlights the necessity of parallel investments in infrastructure to support the sustainable deployment of AI technologies.

Theme 4: Digital Competency of Teachers and Students

Digital skills among educators and students emerged as a key concern. While some private school teachers had received ICT training, most public sector teachers lacked exposure to digital tools, let alone AI applications:

"I'm interested in using AI tools, but we have not been trained. We need workshops, not just emails or online links." (Teacher, Hyderabad)

The need for teacher professional development was a recurring point, especially regarding AI literacy, data interpretation, and ethical use of technology.

Theme 5: Ethical Concerns and Cultural Sensitivity

Several participants raised issues related to privacy, data protection, and job security. These concerns were stronger among senior teachers and administrators:

"What if these AI tools start replacing teachers? Also, how can we ensure that students' data is not misused?" (Education Official, Sindh Education and Literacy Department)

Furthermore, cultural aspects such as gender dynamics were highlighted. Female students in rural areas were often digitally excluded, indicating that AI solutions must be designed to enhance inclusivity.

Theme 6: Governance, Policy, and Leadership Gaps

Participants from policymaking and administrative roles emphasized the lack of coherent strategy for AI integration. While sporadic EdTech initiatives exist, there is little coordination or scale:

"There is no roadmap from the government. We don't know whether we should invest in AI tools or wait for policy directions." (ICT Director, Provincial Board)

This governance vacuum underscores the urgency of institutional leadership and inter-sectoral collaboration to frame AI-readiness standards and guidelines.

Cross-Case Patterns and Regional Contrasts

The analysis also revealed contrasts based on geographic and institutional settings:

- **Urban vs. Rural**: Urban respondents had greater exposure to technology and optimism about AI, while rural participants focused on basic needs like electricity and devices.
- **Public vs. Private**: Private institutions showed more proactive engagement with digital tools, but public schools displayed greater need for structured support and training.
- **Gender**: Educators working in girls' schools highlighted the additional socio-cultural barriers faced by female students in accessing AI resources.

Synthesis and Implications

The qualitative findings underscore that while there is a positive disposition towards the use of AI in education, actual readiness is constrained by systemic limitations in infrastructure, training,

and policy. Stakeholders require targeted capacity-building programs, localized AI tools in native languages, and policy frameworks that account for socio-cultural realities. There is also a need to reassure educators and communities about the ethical use of AI to foster trust and acceptance.

Conclusion and Discussion with Future Research Directions

Conclusion and Discussion

This study set out to explore the readiness, opportunities, and challenges surrounding the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into the education sector of Sindh, Pakistan. Using a qualitative methodology grounded in interviews with educators, administrators, and education technology experts, the findings revealed a multifaceted landscape of both optimism and critical gaps.

One of the most striking insights was the conceptual ambiguity surrounding AI. Many participants, particularly in rural and public-sector contexts, lacked a clear understanding of AI's capabilities and its distinction from general digital technologies. This conceptual gap poses a significant barrier to adoption, as successful integration requires not only physical infrastructure but also epistemic readiness—knowledge, awareness, and confidence in using AI tools. Therefore, educational reforms must begin with foundational capacity building, particularly among teachers who are the primary agents of classroom-level change.

Despite the knowledge gap, there was substantial optimism about AI’s transformative potential. Stakeholders expressed strong interest in using AI to enhance learning equity, personalize education, and reduce administrative burdens. In this regard, AI was viewed not as a luxury but as a possible lifeline for a system struggling with teacher shortages, outdated pedagogical methods, and poor student engagement. Several respondents envisioned AI applications such as intelligent tutoring systems and adaptive learning platforms being tailored to local contexts, including content in Sindhi and Urdu and tools that support remote and marginalized learners.

However, this vision is hindered by systemic limitations. Infrastructure deficits—such as unreliable electricity, lack of internet connectivity, and outdated hardware—remain foundational challenges, particularly in rural districts like Tharparkar and Dadu. These limitations must be addressed through coordinated investments by provincial education departments and partners in

the development and telecommunications sectors. AI cannot function in a vacuum; its success is contingent upon a robust ecosystem of digital support.

Equally crucial is the professional development of teachers and administrators. Many interviewees voiced the need for practical training in AI tools, pedagogical strategies involving AI, and ethical considerations. This indicates an urgent need for pre-service and in-service training programs that go beyond basic ICT literacy to encompass AI awareness, usage, and classroom integration techniques. Institutional actors must develop structured AI literacy modules embedded in teacher training curricula.

Ethical concerns also surfaced prominently in the data, reflecting anxieties about job displacement, data privacy, and algorithmic bias. In a socio-political environment where trust in technology is still evolving, these concerns are valid and must be proactively addressed through clear policies, transparent governance mechanisms, and culturally sensitive design. Additionally, AI must not become another layer of inequality. Gender disparities, especially affecting female students in rural areas, were consistently mentioned as a barrier to inclusive access. Thus, any AI initiative must incorporate inclusive design principles that target the needs of marginalized learners.

On the governance front, the absence of a centralized and coherent strategy for AI integration was a recurrent theme. Participants from government and semi-autonomous institutions emphasized the disjointed nature of current EdTech initiatives, many of which lack continuity or scalability. This points to the necessity of developing a provincial AI-in-education policy framework that clearly outlines goals, standards, implementation timelines, and monitoring indicators. Public-private partnerships will be critical in this process, particularly to bring technological innovation and sustainability into the public education domain.

Taken together, the findings show that while there is considerable interest and perceived potential in AI for education in Sindh, the realization of this potential depends on addressing a web of interlinked issues: awareness, infrastructure, training, inclusivity, ethics, and governance. Without an integrated approach that combines these dimensions, AI risks becoming a symbolic or short-lived intervention, rather than a transformative force.

Future Research Directions

While this study has laid the groundwork for understanding AI readiness and stakeholder perceptions in Sindh's educational landscape, it also opens up several avenues for future research:

1. **Comparative Studies Across Provinces**: Future studies could extend this research design to other provinces in Pakistan such as Punjab, Balochistan, and Khyber Pakhtunkhwa to allow for a comparative assessment of regional disparities and best practices in AI adoption.
2. **Longitudinal Impact Studies**: As AI tools are piloted in Sindh, longitudinal research is needed to track their long-term effects on student performance, teacher workload, and administrative efficiency.
3. **Student-Centric Research**: This study focused primarily on educators and institutional stakeholders. Future research should explore the perspectives of students—especially those from marginalized communities—to better understand barriers and motivations in using AI-enhanced tools.
4. **Ethical and Legal Frameworks**: Given the rising concerns over privacy and digital rights, interdisciplinary research involving legal scholars, ethicists, and technologists can provide guidance on policy development for responsible AI use in education.
5. **AI Tool Design and Localization**: There is a need for action research involving EdTech developers to co-design AI tools that are culturally and linguistically appropriate for Sindh's diverse student population.
6. **Gender and Inclusion Studies**: Future work should focus explicitly on gendered dimensions of AI access, usage, and impact to ensure AI integration supports equity and inclusion goals.

References

Abbasi, A., & Sajjad, M. (2022). Designing AI-Driven School Management Systems in Urban Pakistan: A Case Study of Karachi. *Journal of Educational Computing Research, 60*(6), 1349–1370.

Ahmed, A., & Abbas, S. (2022). Governance Gaps in Pakistan's EdTech Policy Framework: A Critical Review. *International Journal of Educational Development, 93*, 102635.

Alif Ailaan. (2018). *Pakistan District Education Rankings 2018*. https://www.alifailaan.pk/district_rankings

Aoun, J. E. (2017). *Robot-proof: Higher Education in the Age of Artificial Intelligence*. MIT Press.

Arinto, P. B. (2016). Issues and challenges in open and distance e-learning: Perspectives from the Philippines. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 17*(2), 162–180. https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v17i2.1913

Asian Development Bank. (2022). *EdTech Landscape in South Asia: Policy and Investment Pathways*. ADB Publications.

ASER Pakistan. (2022). *Annual Status of Education Report 2022: National Report*. http://www.aserpakistan.org

Chen, X., Xie, H., & Hwang, G. J. (2020). A Multi-Perspective Review of Artificial Intelligence Applications in Education. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society, 23*(4), 1–14.

Farooq, A., Joyo, A., & Baloch, M. (2022). Evaluating ICT Readiness of Rural Schools in Sindh: A Ground-Level Assessment. *Education and Information Technologies, 27*(3), 4171–4188.

Ghosh, A., Sinha, S., & Dey, D. (2021). Personalized Learning Systems in India: Case Studies of Byju's and Toppr. *Asian Journal of Distance Education, 16*(1), 93–108.

Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning*. Center for Curriculum Redesign.

Iqbal, A., & Ahmad, S. (2022). Digital divide and education in Pakistan: A critical review. *Journal of Education and Educational Development, 9*(1), 130–148. https://doi.org/10.22555/joeed.v9i1.4442

Islam, T., Rahman, S., & Afroj, S. (2021). Enhancing Education in Rural Bangladesh Using AI-Powered Chatbots. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning, 16*(13), 75–87.

Khan, S., & Ali, R. (2021). EdTech in Pakistan: Progress, Challenges, and Prospects. *South Asian Journal of Education, 7*(2), 101–117.

Koedinger, K. R., McLaughlin, E. A., & Stamper, J. (2015). Using Data-Driven Discovery of Better Student Models to Improve Student Learning. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 25*(2), 1–28.

Lim, C. P., Tay, E. G., & Siew, S. L. (2021). Artificial Intelligence in Asian Classrooms: Trends and Teacher Perceptions. *Educational Research for Policy and Practice, 20*(3), 277–297.

Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education*. Pearson Education.

Naseer, S., Fatima, A., & Habib, M. (2023). Gender Gaps in EdTech Access: A Study of Rural Sindh. *Pakistan Journal of Education and Development, 40*(1), 113–129.

Ndung'u, N., & Signé, L. (2020). The Fourth Industrial Revolution and Digitization Will Transform Africa into a Global Power. *Brookings Institution*.

https://www.brookings.edu/research/the-fourth-industrial-revolution-and-digitization-will-transform-africa-into-a-global-power/

OECD. (2021). *AI and the Future of Skills, Volume 1: Capabilities and Assessments*. https://doi.org/10.1787/5f7c0700-en

Pakistan Telecommunication Authority. (2023). *Annual Report 2022-2023*. https://pta.gov.pk

Shah, S., Ahmed, M., & Bashir, R. (2022). Cybersecurity and Data Protection in Pakistan's Education Sector: The Role of AI Ethics. *Cyber Policy Review, 4*(1), 29–41.

Shahid, R., & Mehdi, T. (2021). Designing Multilingual AI Tools for Education in Pakistan: A Linguistic Perspective. *Journal of Language and Education, 7*(3), 42–56.

UNESCO. (2022). *Global Education Monitoring Report: Non-State Actors in Education*. https://www.unesco.org/reports

UNESCO. (2023). *Pakistan Education Statistics: Sindh*. https://uis.unesco.org

Wang, Q., & Hu, X. (2022). Barriers to AI Adoption in Education in the Global South: A Systematic Review. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 70*(5), 1123–1145.

West, S. M., Whittaker, M., & Crawford, K. (2019). *Discriminating Systems: Gender, Race, and Power in AI*. AI Now Institute.

Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education – where are the educators? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16*(39), 1–27. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0